UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,352	06/20/2001	Giovanni Guidi	6208/25	4159
27383 CLUEEORD CI	7590 05/10/2007 HANCE US LLP		EXAMINER	
31 WEST 52N	D STREET		APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ	
NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/885,352	GUIDI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
•		Kirsten S. Apple	3693
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	·
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ⁱ	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3693

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 2/15/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The Examiner has read and reviewed all of the information provided by the Applicant. The examiner rejects as final claims 1-8 under 35 USC 102.

The Applicant attention is re-drawn to the following:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Neiboer US Patent 6,418,419.

Re claim 1: Neiboer discloses:

A computer implemented method of financial instrument trading (see Nieboer, title), comprising:

Calculating a financial risk associated with a first financial instrument based on a pricing volatility model (see Nieboer, column 3, line 48 "volatility offering")

Art Unit: 3693

Generating an order to hedge the first financial instrument by acquiring a second financial instrument at a target price that is determined based on the pricing volatility model (see Neiboer, Figure 15, conditional order charts) and

Transmitting the order to an exchange (see Neiboer, Column 22, line 57-61)

Re claim 2: Neiboer discloses:

Acquiring the second financial instrument = acquiring a position selected from the group consisting of a short position and a long position in the second financial instrument (see Nieboer, column 17, line 7-10)

Re claim 3: Neiboer discloses:

Order to hedge = an order to acquire a second financial instrument having a valuation behavior acting to offset valuation change of the first financial instrument (see Nieboer, Table at top of column 13 & 14, "calculator" "input price and hedge – output new price")

Re claim 4: Neiboer discloses:

Order = buy order at a target price less than the current asking price (see Neiboer, Figure 15, buy order = buy, sell order = sell, asking price = trade)

The method further comprises generating a second order to hedge comprising a sell order to sell the second financial instrument at a target price greater than the current bid price for the second financial instrument and price (see Neiboer, Figure 15)

Transmitting the order = transmitting the buy and sell order to the exchange such that both the buy and the sell order are simultaneously pending and execution of the

Application/Control Number: 09/885,352

Art Unit: 3693

buy or sell order is determined based on price movement of the second financial instrument. (see Neiboer, Column 22, line 57-61)

Re claim 5: Neiboer discloses:

Automatically generating a subsequent order to acquire the second financial instrument in response to a change in the pricing volatility model (see Neiboer, figure 2, item T2)

Re claim 6: Neiboer discloses:

First financial instrument = an option on an underlying stock and the second financial instrument comprises the underlying stock (see Neiboer, Figure 14)

Re claim 7: Neiboer discloses:

Model is based on a first derivative of the option price with respect to a first derivative of the underlying stock price (see Neiboer, Figure 14)

Re claim 8: Neiboer discloses:

Financial risk comprises a risk associated with pricing volatility of the first financial instrument (see Nieboer, column 3, line 48 "volatility offering")

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, and respect to Claim 1 the Applicant argued 1st: general remarks that Neiboer does not teach "automatically hedging financial instruments"

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Nieboer abstract. The applicant claims "financial instrument trading" and Nieboer sites "buying and sell of securities" (abstract, line 4) – these are identical items.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,352

Art Unit: 3693

Applicants argued 2nd, Neiboer does not teach "calculating a financial risk associated with a first financial instrument based on a pricing volatility model"

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Neiber column 3, line 48, 'volatility offering." The applicant expresses disagreement with this citation by stating that Nieboer is presenting the "same substance in different format". The examiner agues that this is true one could represent "1 + 1" or one could represent "2" this is the same substantial information but a calculation need to take place – the examiner argues that it is implicit that any necessary calculating were conducted in Nieboer. The examiner recommends that if the applicant believes this is substantially different they submit an RCE with amended claims defining the "pricing volatility model" of the applicant in further detail as to differentiate it from the prior art.

Applicants argued 3rd, Nieboer does not teach "generating an order to hedge the first financial instrument by acquiring a second financial instrument at a target price that is determined base on the pricing volatility model"

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Nieboer, Figure 15, "conditional order charts" - clearly this is a model and the language of "conditional order" is identical to that of a "model." The applicant explained that no reference to automatic hedging of a financial instrument by acquiring another is provided. As the applicant quoted from Nieboer "an order using the current hedge" the examiner argues is sufficient to read on the claim.

The examiner would like iterate that the spec may have material that in its broadest reasonable interpretation is patentably distinct from the prior art referenced.

The examiner would recommend the applicant submit and RCE and amend the claim to further specify these differences. In particular the more detail and definition of the

Application/Control Number: 09/885,352

Art Unit: 3693

"pricing volatility model" spelled out in the claim – how it is calculated and used may help to put this case in condition for allowance.

The examiner would be supportive of having a interview after an RCE and amended claims with additional clarity on "pricing volatility model" is added.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten S. Apple whose telephone number is 571.272.5588. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-6126.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,352 Page 7

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksa

JAMES A. KRAMER

IAMES A. KRAMER
SPECIAL CORY PATENT EXAMINE
OF CENTER 3600

JAMES A. KRAMER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE